Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	03-2069/2)	Introdu	ıction Numb	er A	B-361		
Subject									
Fine a	nd forfeiture	collections							
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decrea	ase Existing	to abso	se Costs - orb within Yes se Costs	- May be possibl agency's budge \B\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	e t	
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease Permiss	e Costs sive Mandato se Costs sive Mandato	3. ⊠Increasory □ Permis 4. □ Decrea	se Revenue ssive⊠Manda ase Revenue ssive∭Manda	atory Tow	ment Unitarins Inties	s Affected Village Cit Others WTCS Districts	ies	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Зу	A	uthorized Sig	nature		Date		
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733				Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733			12/16/20	03	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 12/17/2003

LRB Number 03-2069/2	Introduction Number	AB-361	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject							
Fine and forfeiture collections							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill allows counties to keep 40% of state fines and forfeitures not collected in the first 120 days after they are imposed, with two limitations: (a) it only applies if the county employs collection staff who work for more than one county; and (b) it only is effective until June 30, 2005.

Under s. 59.25 (3)(j), Stats., the county treasurer pays 50% of state forfeitures, fines and penalties collected under chs. 341 to 347, 349 and 351 to the state; the county retains the other 50%. For other fines and penalties, the county treasurer pays 90% to the state and retains 10% for the county as an administrative fee. This bill appears to involve all fines and forfeitures without regard to the percentage to be retained by the county.

Including all fines and forfeitures in this bill actually reduces the county's share of state forfeitures, fines and penalties collected under chs. 341 to 347, 349 and 351 from 50% to 40%, for those that meet the two limitations of the bill. It would increase the county's share for other fines and forfeitures that are subject to the 90/10 split. This appears to create an economic incentive for counties to collect traffic fines and forfeitures (those collected under chs. 341 to 347, 349 and 351) within 120 days after they are imposed because the county would receive 50% of the amount collected, and to postpone collection efforts on other fines and forfeitures until more than 120 days after they are imposed so that the county would receive 40% rather than 10%.

For the fiscal year July 2002 through June 2003, the amount collected under chs. 341 to 347, 349 and 351 was \$16,809,807. The counties retained \$8,404,856 and paid \$8,404,951 to the state. The total amount of the other fines and penalties that were subject to the 90/10 split was \$9,865,668; the counties retained \$986,582 and paid \$8,879,986 to the state. The total collected was \$26,675,475, with \$9,391,438 retained by the counties and \$17,284,037 paid to the state.

This bill could result in significant revenue loss for the state. Assuming all collections had been subject to the conditions of this bill, that is, they were not collected in the first 120 days and the county employed collection staff that work for more than one county, and assuming the provisions of the bill would not impact the timing of collection efforts, then the state's share of the fines and forfeitures would be \$16,005,285, a decrease in revenue to the state of \$1,278,752.

It is impossible to predict the exact fiscal impact of this bill because it can vary depending on how many counties employ collection staff who work for more than one county and on what portion of fines and forfeitures are collected within the first 120 days of being imposed. We are not aware of any counties that currently meet the requirements of this bill by employing collection staff who work for more than one county.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental						
LRB Number 03-2069/2	nber AB-361							
Subject								
Fine and forfeiture collections								
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fo annualized fiscal effect):	r State and/or Local Governn	nent (do not include in						
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:						
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs						
A. State Costs by Category								
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$							
(FTE Position Changes)								
State Operations - Other Costs								
Local Assistance								
Aids to Individuals or Organizations								
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$						
B. State Costs by Source of Funds								
GPR								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev						
GPR Taxes	\$	\$						
GPR Earned								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$						
NET ANNU	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
	<u>State</u>	Local						
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$						
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-indeterminate	\$+indeterminate						
Agonov/Propored By								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	12/16/2003						